



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,276	04/12/2004	James Alfred White		5667
7590	07/22/2010		EXAMINER	
JAMES ALFRED WHITE 909 HWY 1204 PINEVILLE, LA 71360-2912			PETERSON, KENNETH E	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	3724
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	07/22/2010 PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/822,276	WHITE, JAMES ALFRED	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Kenneth Peterson	3724	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 June 2009 and 14 April 2009.

2a) This action is **FINAL**.                    2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ .	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

1. Applicant's petition to revive the application was successful. The RCE has been entered and a new action follows.

2. The amendment filed 14 April 09 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. Claim 1 is rife with new matter not supported by the original disclosure, for example;

The vee threads.

The selective alteration of the sharp male thread crest.

The heat treatment of the internal bearing surface.

The selection of dissimilar materials for mating component parts.

The original disclosure should be carefully reviewed before submitting any new claims to ensure support.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The specification should be amended to make reference to the 3/8-16 threads.

This would not be new matter, since it was originally claimed.

Appropriate correction is required.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not

described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention, as set forth above.

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

From the 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph of claim 1, it is not clear how the threads are “selectively altered” nor what the “selected standard percent of thread engagement” is.

From the 4<sup>th</sup> paragraph of claim 1, it is not understood how to “accommodate” the threads by heat treatment of the internal bearing member.

It is not understood how to perform the subject matter of the 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph of claim 1. What materials are dissimilar? Where is manual pressure applied? What is disengaged?

6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is generally narrative in form and does not follow standard claim writing procedure. Applicant is encouraged to consult a patent practitioner before preparing any response, or at least review other patents to see how claims are written.

On line 4 of claim 1, "the means" lacks proper antecedent basis.

In the first paragraph is a "means for rotation and forward thrust" and also "a means for rotating". Are these one and the same, or two different components?

In the 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, what are the boundaries for something "to perform as transitional threads"? How does the reference to the prior art effect the boundaries of the claim? The term "the drive spindle" lacks proper antecedent basis.

In the 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph, the term "uniquely" is unnecessary. It is not clear what the boundaries of the "selective alteration" are, not what the "selected standard percent of thread engagement" is.

In the 4<sup>th</sup> paragraph, "the internal bearing surface" and "the containment component" lack proper antecedent basis

The 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph makes no sense. What does "propensity for disengagement with subsequent resolution" mean, and how is it effected by manual pressure application and selection of dissimilar materials? The term "the mating component parts" lacks proper antecedent basis.

7. No prior art can be applied at this time, due to the large number of problems with claim 1, as seen above. If claim 1 is fixed, it may later become clear that prior art is applicable.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth Peterson whose telephone number is

(571)272-4512. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on (571)272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kenneth Peterson/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3724